

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/728,826	12/08/2003	Norbert Kock	P24554	9279	
7055	7590 03/25/	005	EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			KASTLER	KASTLER, SCOTT R	
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE					
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742		
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/728,826 KOCK ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Scott Kastler 1742 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 March 2005. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) \boxtimes Claim(s) 7,11-13,21,22 and 24-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>7,11-13,21,22 and 24-37</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ___ 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. __ 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) → Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control Number: 10/728,826

Art Unit: 1742

Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the rejection advanced in the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments, see pages 2-6, filed on 3/14/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 7,11-13,21,22 and 24-37 under 35 USC 101, double patenting have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made as expressed as follows:

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 7, 11-13, 21, 22 and 24-37 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 16, 3-15 and 22-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,689,228 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instantly claimed "rails" and "liquid cooling devices". Are included in the scope of the claims of the '228 patent, where the "rolling stock" of the '228 patent specifically includes rails, (see col. 2 lines 62-67 of the '228 patent for example),

Art Unit: 1742

and the submersion basins of the '228 patent falls within the scope of the instantly claimed "liquid cooling devices". It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the method and device of the claims of the '228 patent to treat rails as the rolling stock to be treated, since the '228 patent lists rails as one type of rolling stock treatable by the methods and devices of the claims of the '228 patent.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742